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## Webinar agenda

- What is the WWC?
- What information does the WWC look for in studies?
- What happens if the WWC needs more information than I provided?
- Additional WWC resources
- Participant Q\&A


## What is the What Works ClearinghouseTM?

## WWC: Who, what, why, and how?

## Who we are:

$\checkmark$ An initiative of the Institute of Education Sciences (IES) at the U.S. Department of Education

## What we do:

- Evaluate existing research on educational topics and summarize the evidence in accessible products


## Why we do it:

- To provide educators and other stakeholders with information to make evidence-based decisions


## How we do it:



- Assess study quality using rigorous design standards and a transparent review process


## ALL WWC RESOURCES AND PRODUCTS ARE FREE

## The WWC and you

- Like researchers, the WWC seeks to advance scientific evidence for what works in education

Suggest topics and make requests


Evidence standards provide benchmarks for research quality

## The WWC summarizes evidence and context

- Documents study characteristics and context


## - Summarizes evidence within and across studies

Intervention reports review research on specific interventions and synthesize findings from rigorous studies

( $\cdot$
Practice guides help educators address challenges using evidence-based strategies

Quick reviews provide timely assessments of recent research studies receiving public attention

(0)
Individual-study reviews summarize individual studies the WWC has reviewed


## Reflection

- Take a moment to think about how you see your role in building scientific evidence about what works in education

Developing new education interventions to test?

Generating evidence on the impacts of interventions?

Generating evidence about the contexts or populations for which an intervention works best?

Identifying the implementation supports and conditions needed for an intervention to work?

## What information does the WWC look for in studies?

## The WWC looks for evidence of causal effects

Rates the quality of evidence that a study provides about the effects of an intervention

- Does the study have a design that can support causal inferences about the impact of an intervention on student outcomes?

- Rules out other potential causes of effects

- No comparison group
- Baseline nonequivalence
- Confounding factors


## Study information the WWC seeks

Study characteristics and context


Study design and analysis


Analytic approach

Missing data

Study data

Outcome and baseline data

Sample sizes

Imputed data

## Study characteristics and context

## Study

 characteristics and contextIntervention and comparison conditions

Study sample

What intervention does this study evaluate? What services, if any, were provided to the comparison group?

- Duration, intensity, content, delivery
- Implementation supports
- Delivery method


## Study characteristics and context

Study characteristics and context

Intervention and comparison conditions

Study sample

Setting
-What intervention does this study evaluate? What services, if any, were provided to the comparison group?

- Who participated in the study?
- Student ages or grade levels
- Student population or subgroup
- School type
- Student background characteristics


## Study characteristics and context

Study characteristics and context

Intervention and comparison conditions

Study sample

-What intervention does this study evaluate? What services, if any, were provided to the comparison group?

- Who participated in the study?
- Where did the intervention occur?
- Country or state
- Urban, suburban, or rural setting
- School or classroom context


## Study design and analysis

Study design and analysis

Measures

## Design

Analytic approach
-What outcome measures were used to assess the impacts of the intervention?

- Measures and psychometric properties
- Scoring procedures
- Collection procedures for intervention and comparison group outcomes


## Study design and analysis

Study design and analysis

Measures

Design

Analytic approach

- What outcome measures were used to assess the impacts of the intervention?
- How were participants identified, recruited, and assigned to study groups?
- Individuals or clusters
- Random or non-random assignment
- Assignment procedures and processes


## Study design and analysis

Study design and analysis

Measures

Design

Analytic approach

Missing data

- What outcome measures were used to assess the impacts of the intervention?
- How were participants identified, recruited, and assigned to study groups?
- What analytic methods were used to estimate impacts and calculate effect sizes?
- Individual-level or aggregated analysis
- Method to compare group outcomes
- Statistical significance calculation
- Units included in the analytic sample


## Study design and analysis

Study design and analysis

Measures

Design

Analytic approach

Missing data

- What outcome measures were used to assess the impacts of the intervention?
- How were participants identified, recruited, and assigned to study groups?
- What analytic methods were used to estimate impacts and calculate effect sizes?
$\bullet$ How did the analysis account for missing data?
- Methods and software


## Study data

Study data
 baseline data

-What are the data for each analysis?

- Sample sizes, means, and unadjusted standard deviations for intervention and comparison groups

|  | Intervention group |  |  | Comparison group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Mean | SD | N | Mean | SD |
| Spring numeracy test | 55 | 12.5 | 1.8 | 60 | 11.3 | 1.7 |
| Fall numeracy test | 55 | 7.9 | 1.1 | 60 | 8.2 | 1.3 |

- Estimated effect of the intervention

|  | Estimated effect | P-value | Effect size |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spring numeracy test | $t=3.7$ | 0.0004 | 0.69 |

## Study data

Study data

Outcome and baseline data

What are the data for each analysis?
-What are the sample sizes for each analysis?

- RCTs: Number of individuals in intervention and comparison groups at random assignment

| RCTs | Intervention group | Comparison group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| N of individuals randomly <br> assigned | 55 | 65 |

## Study data



| Cluster designs | Intervention group |  | Comparison group |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Clusters <br> in analytic <br> sample | Individuals in <br> clusters | Clusters <br> in analytic <br> sample | Individuals in <br> clusters |  |  |
|  | Fall | Spring | Fall | Spring |  |  |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | 5 | 63 | 60 | 6 | 67 | 63 |

-What are the sample sizes for each analysis?

- RCTs: Number of individuals in intervention and comparison groups at random assignment
- Cluster designs: Number of clusters in analytic sample for intervention and comparison groups, and number of individuals in each cluster


## Study data

Study data

Outcome and baseline data

## Sample sizes

Imputed data

| Cluster RCTs | Intervention group | Comparison group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| N of clusters randomly assigned | 5 | 7 |
| N of clusters in analytic sample | 5 | 6 |
| N of individuals, including joiners, <br> in clusters in analytic sample at <br> earliest point after all joiners have <br> joined | 65 | 70 |

## What are the sample sizes for each analysis?

- RCTs: Number of individuals in intervention and comparison groups at random assignment
- Cluster designs: Number of clusters in analytic sample for intervention and comparison groups, and number of individuals in those clusters
- Cluster RCTs: Number of clusters in intervention and comparison groups at random assignment, and number of individuals in analytic sample after joiners


## Study data

Study data


## Sample sizes

Imputed data

|  | Intervention group |  |  | Comparison group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sub-samples for <br> numeracy test | N | Fall <br> mean | Spring <br> mean | N | Fall <br> mean | Spring <br> mean |
| Both fall and spring | 55 | 7.9 | 12.5 | 55 | 8.2 | 11.3 |
| Fall only | 0 | -- | --- | 0 | --- | --- |
| Spring only | 0 | -- | --- | 5 | --- | 11.5 |
| Correlation between fall and spring measures |  |  | 0.89 |  |  |  |

- What are the imputed data for each analysis?
- Number of individuals and baseline and outcome means for intervention and comparison groups
- Correlation between baseline and outcome measures

What happens if the WWC needs more information than I provided?

## The WWC makes an "author query"

- A request sent to a study author for additional or clarifying information needed to review a study
- Authors typically have two weeks to respond
$\checkmark$ All information received is used for review and documented in a report made available to the public
- If no response is received, WWC proceeds with available information



## The WWC will request information needed

- The WWC will ask for any information not provided that could affect the study's rating
- Sample sizes
- Baseline or outcome statistics
- Information on group formation, confounding factors, outcome measures, imputation procedures
- The WWC may ask for other information that could be reported
- Sample characteristics
- Features of the intervention or comparison group condition
- Analyses referenced in the study (but not presented)
- The WWC does not ask for authors to conduct new analyses


## Additional WWC resources

## Resources



## WWC Reporting Guide for Study Authors:

## Group Design Studies

https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Document/235
Regression Discontinuity Design Studies https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Document/283

## WWC Review Protocols:

https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Handbooks\#protocol

## WWC Procedures and Standards Handbooks:

Procedures Handbook
https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Docs/referenceresources/ wwc procedures handbook v4.pdf

Standards Handbook
https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Docs/referenceresources/ wwc standards handbook v4.pdf

## Participant Q\&A

## Staying connected with the WWC

Report

## Find What Works:

https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/

Email-based Newsflash List:
https://ies.ed.gov/newsflash/

## Facebook:

http://facebook.com/whatworksclearinghouse

Twitter:
https://twitter.com/WhatWorksED

## What Works Clearinghouse Help Desk

http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/ContactUs.aspx

