# Integrating Program Evaluation Into Your School Improvement Work: Exploring the Program Evaluation Toolkit

#### October 15, 2021

Jeanette Joyce REL Central

Joshua Stewart **REL** Central

David Yanoski **REL** Central



Mckenzie Haines **REL** Central

# Live-Captioning

- This webinar is being live-captioned. Please copy and paste the link sent to you in the chat box at the start of the webinar to take advantage of this service.
- A prepopulated sign-in page will appear. Please click "register." There is no need to fill in your information.



Voice Relay Demo	CC		2	\$
	Live Captions	Iranscript	Message Conter	Settings
Carol: If the customer wanted the people trying to speak one at a tin operator would say that.	m to add som ne, etc., or wh	ething else natever the	about, you kn y wanted to sa	iow, iy, the
Michelle: is that stated at the begi	nning of the c	all, but wh	en people com	e in late -
Carol: That's correct. It is stated a	t the beginnin	g of the ca	11	
Michelle: When I got here, the cal either.	l was already	in progres	s, so I didn't ge	et that,
Carol: Yeah, that might be someth usually hear when someone else jump in there and say that again.	ing that we w joins, and ma	ant to look ybe the op	at because yo erator would w	ou can /ant to
Michelle: Is there any way I don already in progress. Then saying t that, they have to stop and do that could find out? Or when	't know. That the operator is t. Is there any	might get of s relaying s way that -	confusing if the something, and - I don't know	a call is d after they
	Message to Ca	aptioner		







disabled, you are welcome to communicate by using the Q & A box.

## Your Participation

- We will monitor the Q & A box throughout the presentation. Please feel free to use it at any time for any comments or questions you have.
- Simply click on the Q & A box panel at the top left corner of your screen and send us your question.



# To reduce background noise, we have muted all participants. Although chat has been





## REL Central: Who We Are



The Regional Educational Laboratory (REL) Central at Marzano Research serves the applied education research needs of Colorado, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming.





## REL Central: Colorado School Improvement Research Partnership





A partnership united by goals to support school improvement efforts throughout Colorado in order to improve student learning outcomes.

#### **Areas of Focus**



5

## Meet Our Presenters

- Jeanette Joyce, REL Central
- Joshua Stewart, REL Central
- David Yanoski, REL Central
- Mckenzie Haines, REL Central





# Meet Our Participants (Poll Question)

## What is your role within your organization?

- State administrator, official, or policymaker 1.
- 2. Program evaluator
- 3. School or district leader
- School board member or local education agency member 4.
- Other 5.





- education contexts.
- 2. To give an example of how to use the Program Evaluation Toolkit.



1. To share how REL Central's Program Evaluation Toolkit can be used in a variety of



## Introduction to the Program Evaluation Toolkit



9

# Quick Start Guide

What you'll find in the quick start guide:

- What is the toolkit?
- What is program evaluation?
- Who should use the toolkit?
- Am I ready to use this toolkit?
- Where to start?
- What is included in the toolkit?





#### **Program Evaluation Toolkit Guiding Questions**

Module 1 — Logic Models	Module 5 — Data Quality
<ul> <li>What is the purpose of a logic model?</li> <li>How do I describe my intervention or program using</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>What available data do I have that can be used to answer my evaluation questions?</li> </ul>
a logic model?	<ul> <li>What is the quality of the available data?</li> </ul>
Module 2 — Evaluation Questions	Module 6 — Data Collection
<ul> <li>How do evaluation questions relate to the logic model?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>What data collection tools would be best to answer my evaluation questions?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>How do I write high-quality evaluation questions for my intervention or program?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>How do I develop a simple but effective data collection tool?</li> </ul>
Module 3 — Evaluation Design	Module 7 — Data Analysis
<ul> <li>Which design will best meet my evaluation needs?</li> <li>What is my desired and achievable level of evidence for the evaluation of my intervention or program?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>What variables are related to my evaluation questions?</li> <li>Which analysis method best meets my evaluation needs?</li> </ul>
Module 4 — Evaluation Samples	Module 8 — Dissemination Approaches
<ul> <li>How do I determine whom to include in my data collection sample?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>How do I use findings to address the evaluation questions?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>What types of demographic characteristics should I consider for my sample?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>How do I communicate results to target audiences using appropriate graphics?</li> </ul>



## Navigating the Program Evaluation Modules Website

- Introduce website.
- Multiple entry points to website.
- Where to find content and tools.



\_\_\_\_\_

11

## Uses of the Program Evaluation Toolkit

- Developing programs.
- Evaluating program options.
- Implementing continuous improvement processes.
- Conducting evaluations.
- Collecting data for reporting purposes.
- Being a more informed consumer of evaluation services.





## What Is Included in the Program Evaluation Toolkit?





13

# Module 1: Logic Models

#### Chapters

- Chapter 1: Understand the purpose and components of logic models
- Chapter 2: Write a problem statement to better understand the problem that the program is designed to address
- Chapter 3: Use the logic model to describe the program's resources, activities, and outputs
- Chapter 4: Use the logic model to describe the shortterm, mid-term, and long-term outcomes of the program

#### Resources

- AMMP! Logic Model
- Definitions of Logic Model Components
- Logic Model Template









# Logic Model Template

Problem statement: Students at the middle school have experienced unfinished learning due to school closures as a result of COVID-19. Stakeholders, including district staff, correlated with low math achievement. The school district has recently received a federal grant and would like to use these funds to address math achievement.







## Module 2: Evaluation Questions

#### Chapters

- Chapter 1: Learn the difference between process and outcome evaluation questions and understand how they relate to your logic model
- Chapter 2: Use a systematic framework to write, review, and modify evaluation questions
- Chapter 3: Prioritize questions to address in your evaluation

#### Resources

- AMMP! Logic Model
- Identifying Evaluation Questions Worksheet
- Assessing Evaluation Questions
- Prioritizing Evaluation Questions Worksheet









# **Evaluation Questions**

## **Process Questions**

- How many students attended AMMP! each month?
- How many recreational activities were offered to AMMP! participants? What types?



### **Outcome Question**

• How do AMMP! participants' scores on high school math placement tests compare to nonparticipants' scores?



# Module 3: Evaluation Design

#### Chapters

- Chapter 1: Consider different evaluation design categories
- Chapter 2: Review threats to validity that you should consider when designing an evaluation
- Chapter 3: Inform your evaluation design with evidence guidelines

#### Resources

- AMMP! Logic Model
- Evaluation Design: Matching Activity
- https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/
- Guiding Questions: Evidence and Standards
- Evaluation Design Selection Worksheet











## **Evaluation Design Selection: Descriptive**

- Document the number of volunteer tutors trained to ensure that there are enough staff to provide after-school homework support to students.
- Example descriptive design questions include the following:
  - How many volunteer tutors were trained to implement AMMP!?
  - How many tutoring hours, on average, did students receive? \_\_\_\_
  - What are the characteristics of students and volunteers participating in the program?
- Understand:
  - Whether enough tutors were trained.
  - Whether those tutors are meeting with students.
  - Whether the target population of students is being reached.





## Module 4: Evaluation Samples

#### Chapters

- Chapter 1: Understand the purpose of sampling
- Chapter 2: Consider different sampling techniques
- Chapter 3: Use the techniques from chapter 2 to develop a sampling plan

#### Resources

- **Representative Sample Activity**
- The Generalizer (<u>https://www.thegeneralizer.org/</u>)
- AMMP! Logic Model
- Summary of Sampling Types
- Extra Practice with Sampling Types
- Sample Size Workbook
- Sample Size Workbook User's Guide
- **Sampling Plan for Evaluation Questions**





# Module Evaluation Sam





# Sample Size Workbook: Single Mean Example

- Focus first on estimating a single mean for a continuous variable.
- Suppose AMMP! parents rate their satisfaction with their students' math homework completion on a scale of 0 percent to 100 percent satisfied.
  - The team assumes that the standard deviation of parent ratings is 4 percentage points.
  - The evaluation team wants to estimate the true average rating for all AMMP! parents to within plus or minus 1 percentage point.
- The evaluation team finds that they need 62 parents.



Desired in Required s

Desired in Proportion Required s

Desired int Estimate o Required s

Desired int Estimate o Estimate o Proportion Required s

Desired in Expected of Required s



#### **Confidence Intervals**

Confidence interval for a single mean					
terval width (in standard deviation units)	0.5 🔶				
ample size	62				
Confidence interval for comparing two means					
terval width (in standard deviation units)	0.5				
n of sample in group 1	0.75				
ample size	328				

Confidence interval for a single proportion				
terval width (in percentage points)	8			
of true proportion	0.6			
ample size	577			

Confidence interval for comparing two proportions				
terval width (in percentage points)	16			
of true proportion in group 1	0.7			
of true proportion in group 2	0.5			
n of sample in group 1	0.25			
ample size	705			

Confidence interval for correlation						
terval width			0.3			
correlation			0.1			
sample size			168			
Confidence Intervals	Power-Mean Difference	P	ower-Proportion Difl			

Enter desired interval width divided by the standard deviation here.





# Module 5: Data Quality

#### Chapters

- Chapter 1: Identify the two major types of data and describe how to use them in an evaluation
- Chapter 2: Evaluate the quality of your data, using six key criteria
- Chapter 3: Connect data to your evaluation questions

#### Resources

- AMMP! Logic Model
- Data Sources: Advantages and Disadvantages
- Data Quality Dimensions
- Data Quality Checklist
- Evaluation Matrix
- A Guide to Using State Longitudinal Data for Applied Research









## **Evaluation Matrix**

<b>Evaluation Question</b>	Sample	Data	<b>Collection Method</b>	Time Frame	<b>Analysis Method</b>	Interpretation
What evaluation question are you seeking to answer?	What type of sampling will you use? What will the sample size be for each group?	What data will you use to address this question (for example, assessment scores, survey responses, focus group data)?	How will you collect the data (for example, existing database query, online survey, in-person focus groups)?	When and how frequently will you collect the data?	How will you summarize the data so that the data are usable?	How will you reach a conclusion regarding y evaluation question?
How do AMMP! participants' scores on high school math placement tests compare to nonparticipants' scores?	Convenience sampling of all participating and non-participating AMMP! students.	High school math placement test scores	Request high school math placement test data for students who did and did not participate in AMMP!.	Collect when students complete the high school math placement test.		
How many recreational activities were offered to AMMP! participants? What types?	Purposive sampling of teachers, parents, and students. Two total focus groups for students, parents, and teachers (six in total). Approximately eight for each focus group.	Focus group transcripts from teachers, parents, and students about recreational activities.	Collect focus group data from teachers, parents, and students, using audio recorders and notes.	Collect focus group data during the middle of the school year and again at the end.		







## Module 6: Data Collection

#### Chapters

- Chapter 1: Plan and conduct interviews and focus groups
- Chapter 2: Plan and conduct observations
- Chapter 3: Design surveys

#### Resources

- AMMP! Logic Model
- Guidelines for Interviews and Focus Groups
- Guide to Conducting a Needs Assessment for American Indian Students
- AMMP! Interview Protocol
- AMMP! Focus Group Protocol
- Guidelines for Observations
- Existing Observation and Survey Instruments
- AMMP! Observation Protocol
- An Educator's Guide to Questionnaire Development
- Existing Observation and Survey Instruments
- Ordered Response Options for Rating Scales
- AMMP! Caregiver Perception Survey
- AMMP! Logic Model
- Interview, Focus Group, Observation, or Survey?
- Data Collection Instrument Draft









\_\_\_



## Data Collection Tool: Focus Group Protocol for Parents

- about the program? What questions did you have?
  - Optional probing questions:
    - thought?
    - Why did you think that about AMMP!?
    - Where did you hear about AMMP!?
    - What did you hear from other teachers/parents/students that led you to think that?
- How many recreational activities were offered? What types?



Think back to when you first heard about AMMP!. What were your initial thoughts

• What did you discuss among yourselves about the program? Can you tell me more about what you

• What else do you think I should know about AMMP! that I have not asked about?



# Module 7: Data Analysis

#### Chapters

- Chapter 1: Common approaches to data preparation and analysis
- Chapter 2: Basic analyses to build analytic capacity
- Chapter 3: A framework for understanding the implications of findings and making justifiable recommendations

#### Resources

- AMMP! Logic Model
- Guidelines for a Codebook
- Common Sources of Data Errors and Error-Checking Techniques
- Microsoft Excel Functions for Data Cleaning
- Survey Methods for Educators: Analysis and Reporting of Survey Data
- Qualitative Research Methods: A Data Collector's Field Guide
- Qualitative Research
- Cost Analysis: A Starter Kit
- **Descriptive Statistics Activity**
- Common Sources of Data Errors and Error-Checking Techniques
- Program Evaluation Toolkit Calculator
- Program Evaluation Toolkit Calculator: User's Guide
- Inferential Statistics Activity
- Microsoft Excel Functions for Data Cleaning
- Qualitative Analysis Activity
- Statistical Theory for the RCT-YES Software: Design-Based Causal Inference for RCTs
- Evidence to Insights (e2i) Coach
- **Evaluation Matrix**











# Evaluation Matrix (Part 2)

<b>Evaluation Question</b>	Sample	Data	<b>Collection Method</b>	Time Frame	Analysis Method	Interpretation
What evaluation question are you seeking to answer?	What type of sampling will you use? What will the sample size be for each group?	What data will you use to address this question (for example, assessment scores, survey responses, focus group data)?	How will you collect the data (for example, existing database query, online survey, in-person focus groups)?	When and how frequently will you collect the data?	How will you summarize the data so that the data are usable?	How will you reach conclusion regarding evaluation question
How do AMMP! participants' scores on high school math placement tests compare to nonparticipants' scores?	Convenience sampling of all participating and non-participating AMMP! students.	High school math placement test scores	Request high school math placement test data for students who did and did not participate in AMMP!.	Collect when students complete the high school math placement test.	Compare high school math placement test scores of AMMP! participants and nonparticipants, using ordinary least squares regression.	Participation i AMMP! was associated wit higher scores
How many recreational activities were offered to AMMP! participants? What types?	Purposive sampling of teachers, parents, and students. Two total focus groups for students, parents, and teachers (six in total). Approximately eight for each focus group.	Focus group transcripts from teachers, parents, and students about recreational activities.	Collect focus group data from teachers, parents, and students, using audio recorders and notes.	Collect focus group data during the middle of the school year and again at the end.	Code focus group transcripts for themes related to recreational activities at the middle and end of the school year.	Midyear focus gr participants indicated that f number and type recreational active offered were n sufficient. Mos recreational active were offered as result.







## Module 8: Dissemination Approaches

#### Chapters

- Chapter 1: How to develop a dissemination plan
- Chapter 2: Best practices in data visualization

#### Resources

- Dissemination Plan Template
- Determining the Audience
- Dissemination Approaches: Pros and Cons
- Media Release Template
- Summary Template
- Infographic Considerations
- Federal Plain Language Guidelines and Checking Recommendations for Plain Language
- Key Considerations for Accessibility
- Forum Guide to Data Visualization: A Resource for Education Agencies
- Data Visualization Checklist









## **Dissemination Plan**

- help reach this audience?
- Message: What is the purpose for the dissemination? What key findings from the evaluation will be of interest to the audience?
- Approach: Which dissemination approach will best meet your needs?
- Timing: When will the dissemination begin and end?
- Responsible party: Who will lead the dissemination efforts?

Audience	Message	Approach	Timing (Start–Finish)	<b>Responsible Party</b>
Funders	85 percent of AMMP! tutors plan to use effective techniques	Evaluation report	May – June 2022	Jeanette J.



## • Audience: Who are you trying to reach? What groups or organizations can you use to









## Questions?



## Reference

Stewart, J., Joyce, J., Haines, M., Yanoski, D., Gagnon, D., Luke, K., Rhoads, C., & Germeroth, C. (2021). *Program Evaluation Toolkit: Quick start guide* (REL 2021–112). U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Regional Educational Laboratory Central. <u>http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs</u>.





\_

31

## Thank You

This presentation was prepared under Contract ED-IES-17-C-0005 by Regional Educational Laboratory Central, administered by Marzano Research. The content does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of IES or the U.S. Department of Education, nor does mention of names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. government.



Please visit our website and follow us on Twitter for information about our events, priorities, and alliances, and for access to our many free resources.

ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs/regions/central/index.asp

@RELCentral

Or contact us at RELCentral@MarzanoResearch.com

