This review-specific protocol guides the review of research that informs the What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) intervention reports in the Primary Mathematics (PM) topic area. The review-specific protocol is used in conjunction with the *WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook (version 3.0)*.

**PURPOSE STATEMENT**

This review focuses on mathematics interventions used in kindergarten through grade 8, with a focus on students’ mathematics achievement. The determination of whether an intervention falls under the scope of this review is dependent on both grade level and content of the intervention. For example, the review can include students in high school if they use a primary mathematics intervention such as pre-algebra.

The following research questions guide this review:

- Which interventions are effective at increasing the learning of mathematics content and skills among primary students?
- Are some interventions more effective for certain types of primary students, particularly students who are at risk of failure in mathematics?

**KEY DEFINITIONS**

*Mathematics intervention.* In this review, a mathematics intervention is defined as a replicable (i.e., can be reproduced) instructional program that is delivered to primary students, clearly delineates mathematics learning goals for students, and is designed to directly affect student mathematics achievement.

*Primary students.* Primary students are defined as students in classes where mathematics is presented through multi-topic materials and curricula. In most cases, these courses are taught to students in kindergarten through grade 8, although students in higher grades (such as grades 9 and 10) are considered primary students if they were in multi-topic math courses such as pre-algebra.

*Secondary students.* Secondary students are defined as students in courses that are organized by mathematical domain (e.g., algebra, geometry, trigonometry, calculus). In most cases, these types of courses are taught in grades 9–12, although these courses could be taught in grades 7 or 8. Secondary students also include students in integrated high school mathematics courses.
PROCEDURES FOR CONDUCTING THE LITERATURE SEARCH

The *WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook* discusses the procedures for conducting a literature search described in Section II: Developing the Review Protocol and Identifying Relevant Literature (p. 4) and in Appendix B: Policies for Searching and Prioritizing Studies for Review.

Search Terms

The following table presents the search terms by category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Search Terms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study Design</td>
<td>• ABAB design* • Alternating treatment* • Causal • Comparison group* •</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comparative math studies • Control group* • Effectiveness • Experiment*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Impact • Matched group* • Meta-analysis • Meta analysis • Posttest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Post-test • Pre-test • Pre-test • QED • Quasi-experimental design* •</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Random* • Randomized control* trial* • RCT • Regression discontinuity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>design* • Simultaneous treatment* • Single-case design* • Single subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>design*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>• Approach* • Curricul* • Curriculum • Curriculum Evaluation • Inquiry-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Broadly)</td>
<td>based math • Instruct* • Instruction • International math • Intervention*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Mathematics • Mathematics instruction • Program* • Properties mathematics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reasoning • Remedial math • Spatial ability • Strateg* • Teach* •</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technique*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>• Grade 1 • Grade 2 • Grade 3 • Grade 4 • Grade 5 • Grade 6 • Grade 7 •</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 8 • Third • Fourth • Fifth • Sixth • Seventh • Eighth • Elementary*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Primary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Kindergarten  | Academic, Achievement, Algebra, Arithmetic, Assessment, Attainment, Cognit*, Comprehen*, Concept*, Counting, Curriculum-based assessment, Fluency, Fractions, Geometry, Knowledge, Learning | Additional Sources in the WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook, Appendix B: Campbell Collaboration, C2-SPECTR (Social, Psychological, Educational, and Criminological Trials Register) is a registry of over 10,000 randomized and possibly randomized trials in education, social work and welfare, and criminal justice.

In addition to those listed in the WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook, Appendix B, this review searched the following websites:

- American Association of Colleges of Teacher Education (AACTE)
- American Association of School Administrators (AASA)
- American Federation of Teachers
- American Mathematical Society (AMS)
- American Statistical Association (ASA)
- Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (ASCD)
- Broad Foundation (Education)
- Center for Research in Educational Policy (CREP)
- Center for the Study of Instructional Improvement
- Center on Education Policy
- Center on Instruction
• Consortium for Policy Research in Education (CPRE)
• Council of Chief State School Officers
• Council of the Great City Schools (CGCS)
• Editorial Projects in Education (EPE) Research Center
• Erikson Institute, University of Chicago
• Geometry, Reasoning, and Instructional Practices
• Harvard Graduate School of Education
• Johns Hopkins University School of Education
• Mathematical Association of America (MAA)
• Mathematics Teaching and Learning to Teach Project
• Mid-continent Research for Education and Learning
• Millennium Mathematics Project
• National Association for the Education of Young Children
• National Association of Elementary School Principals (NAESP)
• National Association of Secondary School Principals (NASSP)
• National Board for Professional Teaching Standards
• National Center for Children in Poverty
• National Center for Education Research
• National Center for Research on Early Childhood Education (NCRECE)
• National Center for Special Education Research
• National Conference of State Legislatures (NCSL)
• National Council of Supervisors of Mathematics (NCSM)
• National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM)
• National Head Start Association
• National Math Panel
• National Science Foundation (NSF)
• New America Foundation’s Early Education Initiative
• Office of Early Learning (http://www.ed.gov/early-learning)
• Pacific Resources for Education and Learning (PREL)
• Promising Practices Network
• Public Education Network
• Public Policy Research Institute at Texas A&M University
• Society for Research in Child Development
• Southwest Educational Development Laboratory (SEDL)
• Technical Assistance Center on Social Emotional Intervention for Young Children
• TERC
• The National Academies Press
• U.S. Department of Education
• U.S. Department of Health & Human Services
• UCLA Graduate School of Education Research Centers
• University of Chicago School Mathematics Project
• WestEd
ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

Eligible Populations

In this review, the following populations are of interest:

- **Grade range.** The Primary Mathematics area will review studies of interventions administered to primary students, who are students that attend multi-topic math courses (e.g., first grade math, fourth grade math, pre-algebra). In most cases, these types of courses are taught in kindergarten through grade 8, although students in higher grades (such as grades 9 and 10) fall within this review if they were in multi-topic math courses (such as pre-algebra).

- **Overlap with other WWC topic areas.** Studies of mathematics interventions administered to students in grades 7 and 8 fall within the scope of the topic area reviews for Secondary Mathematics if the intervention is a single domain intervention focused on algebra or higher level mathematics. If students with learning disabilities comprise more than 50% of the sample, the study will fall within the scope of the Students with Learning Disabilities topic area. Studies with English Learners (ELs) will be discussed with the lead methodologist for determination of eligibility.

Potential subgroups of interest for this review include:

- **Characteristics of students:**
  - Baseline mathematics achievement
  - Grade
  - Gender
  - Socioeconomic status
  - Race/ethnicity
  - EL status
  - “At-risk” status (as defined by study authors)

- **Characteristics of school settings:**
  - Location of the schools involved (e.g., urban, suburban, rural)
  - Homogenous groupings of students (e.g., tracking)
  - School type (public, private, religious)
  - School SES (e.g., Title I school)
  - Average class size (small, medium, large)
  - School size
  - Average teacher characteristics (e.g., teacher education and experience)
Eligible Interventions

Only interventions that are replicable (i.e., can be reproduced) are eligible for review. The following characteristics of an intervention must be known to reliably reproduce the intervention with different participants, in other settings, and at other times:

- Intervention description: skills being targeted, approach to enhancing the skill(s) (e.g., strategies, activities, and materials), unit of delivery of the intervention (for example, whole group, individual), medium/media of delivery (for example, teacher-led instruction or software), and targeted population

- Intervention duration and intensity

- Description of individuals delivering or administering the intervention

In this review, the following types of interventions may be included:

- **Curricula or products.** A curriculum or product may be textbooks, software programs, or other educational technology that is (1) intended as the primary instructional tool designed to support mathematics instruction or (2) designed to supplement the classroom material with differentiated instruction, remediation, or enrichment. Both types of curricula and products are included in this review. Examples of mathematics curricula include *DreamBox Learning; Everyday Math; Investigations in Number, Data, and Space; Saxon Math;* and *Scott Foresman-Addison Wesley Mathematics*. An example supplemental product is *Accelerated Math*.

- **Practices or strategies.** The review includes both general and targeted practices. A general practice could be used with a wide range of students and to address a wide range of learning goals. A targeted practice is intended to support instruction for a particular type of student or a particular learning goal for a narrowly defined knowledge or skill. Both general and targeted practices must be clearly described and commonly understood in the field and in the literature. Examples of primary mathematics practices includes *explicit instruction* and *Peer Assisted Learning Strategies (PALS)*.

Both “branded” and “non-branded” interventions will be reviewed. Branded interventions are commercial or published programs and products that may possess any of the following characteristics:

- An external developer who provides technical assistance (e.g., instructions/guidance on the implementation of the intervention) or sells or distributes the intervention

- Trademark or copyright
Eligible Research

The *WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook* discusses the types of research reviewed by the WWC in Section II: Developing the Review Protocol and Identifying Relevant Literature (p. 4). Additionally, in this review, the following additional parameters define the scope of research studies to be included:

- **Topic.** The study must focus on the effects of a mathematics intervention on one or more measures of mathematics achievement.

- **Time frame.** Studies generally must have been released or made public in 1983 or later and be obtained by the WWC for review prior to the drafting of the intervention report.

- **Sample.** The study sample must meet the requirements described in the “Eligible Populations” section above. Outcomes can be measured later (e.g., when the sample is older).

- **Language.** The study must be available in English to be included in the review. Studies examining math competencies in other languages will be included in the review.

- **Location.** The study must include students in the United States, its territories or tribal entities, or in a country that is sufficiently similar to the United States that the study could be replicated in the United States.

Eligible Outcomes

This review includes outcomes in the following domain:

**Mathematics achievement.** Outcomes that fall in the mathematics achievement domain are those related to mathematics content and skills, commonly described as what students should know and be able to do. Mathematical content knowledge includes knowledge of numbers, arithmetic, pre-algebra, geometry, measurement, graphing, and logical reasoning. Mathematics skills are the application of the learning of this content, as well as an understanding of mathematical concepts, procedures, and problem solving.

Relevant outcome measures of mathematics achievement include standardized, nationally-normed achievement tests that are appropriate for students in kindergarten through grade 8; standardized state or local tests of mathematics achievement; and research-based or locally-developed tests or instruments that assess students’ mathematical concepts or skills.

Other measures of mathematics achievement, such as student grades assigned by teachers, do not qualify as relevant outcome measures.
EVIDENCE STANDARDS

Eligible studies are assessed against WWC evidence standards, as described in the *WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook*, Section III: Screening and Reviewing Studies (pp. 7–21).

Sample Attrition

The *WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook* discusses the sample attrition standards used by the WWC in Section III: Subsection B.2 Sample Attrition: Is the combination of overall and differential attrition high? (pp. 11–15).

This review uses the *liberal* boundary for attrition. This boundary was selected based on the assumption that most attrition in studies of Primary Mathematics was due to factors that were not strongly related to intervention status. For example, most attrition in studies of primary mathematics interventions results from exogenous factors, such as parent mobility or absences on days that assessments are conducted. The *WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook* contains a figure illustrating the attrition boundary and an associated table with attrition levels that define high and low attrition. Based on the choice of the boundary, the study review guide calculates attrition and whether it is high or low.

Baseline Equivalence

If the study design is a randomized controlled trial or regression discontinuity design with high levels of attrition or a quasi-experimental design, the study must demonstrate baseline equivalence of the intervention and comparison groups for the analytic sample. The onus for demonstrating equivalence in these studies rests with the authors. The *WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook* discusses how authors must demonstrate baseline equivalence in Section III: Subsection B.3 Baseline Equivalence: Is equivalence established at baseline for the groups in the analytic sample? (pp. 15 and 16).

Baseline equivalence must be demonstrated for the intervention and comparison groups in the analytic sample on the following pre-intervention (or baseline) characteristics:

- A pretest of an acceptable outcome measure
- Grade level

This review requires that, in a domain that requires statistical adjustments, the adjustment is made only for that outcome. For example, if A, B, and C are available as pre- and post-intervention measures, and the pre-intervention difference in B requires statistical adjustment, only the analysis of outcome B must adjust for B.

A review should clearly document if a study has a baseline difference in any of the following characteristics, since it could be evidence that the populations were drawn from very different
settings and that the intervention and comparison groups are not sufficiently comparable for the purposes of this review:

- Gender
- Socioeconomic status (SES)
- Racial/ethnic breakdown
- Percentage of ELs
- “At-risk” status (as defined by study authors)
- Locations of the schools involved
- Homogeneous groupings of students (e.g., tracking)
- School type (public, private, religious)
- School SES (e.g., Title I school)
- Average class size (small, medium, large)
- Average teacher characteristics (e.g., teacher education and experience)
- School size

The provision of such information, however, is not a requirement of the review.

**Outcomes**

The *WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook* discusses the types of outcomes, criteria the outcome must meet, and how outcomes are reported by the WWC in Section III: Subsection B.4 Outcome Eligibility and Reliability (pp. 16–19). In this review, the general guidance regarding reliability, outcomes measured at different points in time, impacts measured at different points in time, composite and subscale scores, subgroup findings, categorical ordinal measures, and estimated effects using imputed data are followed.

**Statistical Adjustments**

The *WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook* discusses the types of adjustments made by the WWC in Section IV: Subsection B Statistical Significance of Findings (p. 24).

**Other Study Designs**

Studies that use regression discontinuity or single-case designs are eligible for review using the appropriate pilot standards.

The *WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook* discusses the pilot standards for reviewing regression discontinuity design studies in Appendix D.

The *WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook* discusses the pilot standards for reviewing single-case design studies in Appendix E.