
The findings from this review do not reflect the full body of research evidence on Technology-enhanced, Research-based, Instruction, Assessment, and professional Development (TRIAD).

What is this study about?

The study examined the effects of Technology-enhanced, Research-based, Instruction, Assessment, and professional Development (TRIAD), a professional development intervention that combines a curriculum (Building Blocks in this implementation), a software-based teaching tool, and in-person teacher professional development. The intervention was delivered to the teachers of young children, particularly those at risk of low math achievement. The study also included an assessment of whether continuing the intervention through kindergarten improved math achievement at the end of kindergarten. To measure these effects, two versions of the intervention were delivered: (a) TRIAD no follow-through (TRIAD-NFT), where only preschool teachers received TRIAD; and (b) TRIAD follow-through (TRIAD-FT), where both preschool and kindergarten teachers received TRIAD.

Forty-two schools from Buffalo, NY and Boston, MA were included in this study. Within each city, schools were grouped by the previous year’s fourth grade mathematics state assessment scores and then randomly assigned to either the TRIAD-NFT group (14 schools), the TRIAD-FT group (12 schools), or a comparison group that implemented neither intervention (16 schools). From each preschool classroom, researchers randomly selected up to 15 children to participate. Researchers assessed the math ability of these children at the start of preschool (prior to intervention), at the end of preschool (after 1 year of study participation), and at the end of kindergarten.

Features of Technology-enhanced, Research-based, Instruction, Assessment, and professional Development (TRIAD)

TRIAD is a branded professional development model that provides on-going training aligned with specific curricular materials. The model emphasizes both the specific curriculum and the students’ content knowledge and thinking, with a particular focus on learning trajectories, or instruction that helps students advance from one level of thinking to another towards a learning goal.

This study examines one implementation of TRIAD in early mathematics, which combines a curriculum (Building Blocks), a software-based teaching tool (Building Blocks Learning Trajectories), and in-person teacher professional development. This study examined one implementation of TRIAD in early mathematics, which combines a curriculum (Building Blocks), a software-based teaching tool (Building Blocks Learning Trajectories), and in-person teacher professional development. In kindergarten, the TRIAD-FT teachers used Building Blocks Learning Trajectories and received in-person professional development on the use of formative assessment; however, these teachers did not use the Building Blocks curriculum. There were no differences in the experiences of the TRIAD-NFT group and the comparison group during kindergarten.
of kindergarten (after 2 years of study participation). Using these completed assessments, 963 students were included in the final analysis. To measure the intervention’s effects, the researchers separately compared outcomes at the end of kindergarten for those in TRIAD-NFT and TRIAD-FT to those from the comparison group. The researchers further compared the end-of-kindergarten outcomes between TRIAD-NFT and TRIAD-FT to assess whether there were any differences due to the continuity of the TRIAD intervention into kindergarten.

What did the study find?

At the end of kindergarten, the authors found that both the TRIAD-NFT and TRIAD-FT implementations delivered in this study had a statistically significant positive effect on student performance on the Research-based Elementary Math Assessment (REMA) when compared to students attending comparison schools, and the WWC confirmed these findings. The authors found no difference in REMA performance when comparing students attending TRIAD-NFT schools to those attending TRIAD-FT schools.

WWC Rating

The research described in this report meets WWC group design standards with reservations

This study is a randomized controlled trial with unknown levels of study attrition, and thus, this study does not pass the attrition standard. However, the study demonstrates the equivalence of the analytic samples for each comparison at baseline.
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Setting

This study was conducted in preschool and kindergarten classrooms in 42 schools that were located in Buffalo, NY and Boston, MA.

Study sample

Researchers originally recruited schools to implement a school-level cluster randomized controlled trial in the late 2000s. Within each city, participating schools were grouped according to the mathematics state test scores of the fourth-grade students who attended the school the previous year. The schools were then randomly assigned to either implement TRIAD-NFT, TRIAD-FT, or act as a comparison school. Once the school year began, consent from families in each preschool classroom was requested. The researchers then randomly sampled up to 15 students from each classroom to be included in the study. This resulted in a sample of 5–15 students from each classroom which was included in the study.

The final analysis sample included 42 schools and 963 students that were close to evenly distributed across the three treatment arms. Fifty-three percent of the students in the study were African American, 22% were Hispanic, and 19% were White. Overall, the sample was economically disadvantaged, with 82% receiving a lunch subsidy. Approximately 13% of the students were English language learners.

Intervention group

There were two intervention groups included in the study: (a) TRIAD no follow-through (TRIAD-NFT) implemented the TRIAD intervention in the preschool year only, and (b) TRIAD follow-through (TRIAD-FT) implemented the TRIAD intervention in both preschool and kindergarten. TRIAD is a professional development model that provides on-going training aligned with specific curricular materials. The model emphasizes both the specific curriculum and the students’ content knowledge and thinking, with a particular focus on learning trajectories, or instruction that helps students advance from one level of thinking to another towards a learning goal.

This study examined one implementation of TRIAD in early mathematics, which combines a curriculum (Building Blocks), a software-based teaching tool (Building Blocks Learning Trajectories), and in-person teacher professional development. The overarching goal of these combined components is to help teachers individualize their teaching to each child’s needs. The follow-through component of the intervention is meant to educate kindergarten teachers to build upon the gains made by students experiencing TRIAD in preschool. Building Blocks is a stand-alone curriculum that includes a software component for children with activities and built-in assessments.5 The software-based teaching tool, Building Blocks Learning Trajectories,
is a resource for teachers to improve their implementation of individualized lesson planning for each student. The in-person professional development components provide hands-on instruction on how to individualize teaching for students and incorporate the Building Blocks and Building Blocks Learning Trajectories components of the intervention. In kindergarten, TRIAD-FT teachers used Building Blocks Learning Trajectories and received in-person professional development; however, they did not use the Building Blocks curriculum. Instead, TRIAD-FT teachers incorporated the district’s kindergarten curriculum with the other components of TRIAD.

**Comparison group**

Students in the comparison condition did not have teachers receiving the TRIAD professional development. No additional details were provided, but schools could not participate in the study if they had any previous experience implementing either TRIAD or Building Blocks before the study began.

**Outcomes and measurement**

The student-level outcome analyzed in this study is the Research-based Elementary Math Assessment (REMA). This assessment was created by two of the study authors (Clements, Sarama, & Liu, 2008) and provides a general measure of math knowledge based on the Rasch model.

**Support for implementation**

TRIAD-FT kindergarten teachers were trained for 32 hours over seven sessions throughout the follow-through year. Professional development participation, measured as a percentage of hours attended, ranged from 16% to 100% with a mean of 63%. The focus of the professional development for kindergarten teachers was on formative assessment. Teachers were also trained on studying student trajectories using the Building Blocks Learning Trajectories program. In schools implementing the TRIAD-FT intervention, professional development staff also met with preschool and kindergarten staff at each school in order to facilitate the sharing of information. Training of preschool teachers focused on the introduction of the new curriculum, but additional detail on the professional development for TRIAD preschool teachers was not provided in the study.

**Reason for review**

This study was identified for review by the WWC because it was supported by a grant (R305K050157) to the State University of New York at Buffalo (Principal Investigator: Douglas Clements) from the National Center for Education Research (NCER) at the Institute of Education Sciences (IES).
Appendix B: Outcome measure for the mathematics achievement domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mathematics achievement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research-based Elementary Math Assessment (REMA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Notes: The study authors also included a teacher-level outcome measure: Classroom Observation of Early Mathematics Environment and Teaching (COEMET). This measure was used in a mediation analysis to examine the indirect effects of the intervention through changes in instructional processes (measured using COEMET) on mathematics achievement. Because this analysis did not focus on the direct effects of the intervention on COEMET scores as outcomes, this variable is not included in this WWC report.
Appendix C: Study findings for the mathematics achievement domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain and outcome measure</th>
<th>Study sample</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Mean (standard deviation)</th>
<th>WWC calculations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention group</td>
<td>Comparison group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics achievement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMA</td>
<td>TRIAD-NFT vs. comparison</td>
<td>30 schools/618 students</td>
<td>52.64 (4.44)</td>
<td>51.61 (4.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain average for TRIAD-NFT vs. comparison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMA</td>
<td>TRIAD-FT vs. comparison</td>
<td>28 schools/630 students</td>
<td>53.47 (4.68)</td>
<td>51.61 (4.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain average for TRIAD-FT vs. comparison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMA</td>
<td>TRIAD-FT vs. TRIAD-NFT</td>
<td>26 schools/678 students</td>
<td>53.22 (4.68)</td>
<td>52.64 (4.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain average for TRIAD-FT vs. TRIAD-NFT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table Notes: For mean difference, effect size, and improvement index values reported in the table, a positive number favors the intervention group and a negative number favors the comparison group. The effect size is a standardized measure of the effect of an intervention on student outcomes, representing the average change expected for all students who are given the intervention (measured in standard deviations of the outcome measure). The improvement index is an alternate presentation of the effect size, reflecting the change in an average student’s percentile rank that can be expected if the student is given the intervention. The statistical significance of the study’s domain average was determined by the WWC. nr = not reported.

Study Notes: No corrections for clustering or multiple comparisons were needed. The authors controlled for preschool pretest measures and block indicators to reflect the original assignment process, and the p-values presented here are based on these models as they were reported in the study. However, for those contrasts that have an “nr” under “WWC calculations,” the WWC could not reconcile the effect size estimates from the models as reported in the study with WWC-calculated effect size estimates using additional statistics reported in the study. Specifically, the impact estimates described in prose on p. 116 could not be translated into meaningful effect sizes that aligned with the unadjusted descriptive statistics on p. 117 of the article (or any other metric of the outcome that the single study review team considered). As a result, the WWC does not report mean difference, effect size, or improvement index statistics from the information presented in the study in this table, since the magnitude of these statistics cannot be credibly identified given the information in the article (note: these statistics were not used to determine the level of evidence for these contrasts). In the article, the authors calculated the effect size for the TRIAD-NFT vs. comparison as \( g = .21 \) and the effect size for the TRIAD-FT vs. TRIAD-NFT as \( g = .14 \) (and the direction and statistical significance of the study-reported impacts were used to determine the level of evidence from these contrasts, since the direction and significance of the impacts was deemed to be credible by the WWC, even though the magnitude of the reported impact estimates were not replicable with other information in the article).

Unlike the other two contrasts shown in this table, the TRIAD-FT vs. comparison subgroup did not require a pretest adjustment due to small baseline differences. For this final comparison, the WWC was able to perform an effect size estimate based on reported statistics from the study. For this comparison, the WWC calculated the program group mean using a difference-in-differences approach (see WWC Handbook) by adding the impact of the program (i.e., difference in mean gains between the intervention and comparison groups) to the unadjusted comparison group posttest means. Please see the WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook, version 3.0 for more information. Both TRIAD-NFT and TRIAD-FT are characterized as having a statistically significant positive effect because they each have a positive and statistically significant effect for at least one measure when compared to the comparison group, and no effects are negative and statistically significant. For more information, please refer to the WWC Standards and Procedures Handbook, version 3.0, p. 25.
Endnotes

1 On August 1, 2014, the WWC modified this report. The WWC revised the description of the intervention throughout the report to clarify that TRIAD is a professional development intervention. The review was updated to use the Teacher Quality review protocol version 3.0, which was not approved at the time this study was originally reviewed. This resulted in a change in the description of why the COEMET measure was not eligible for review in Appendix B, and in Endnote 2. Endnote 1 was also revised to reflect that the study was reviewed using the Teacher Quality review protocol. Finally, we revised Endnote 5 to include an updated link to the official Building Blocks website. The WWC rating and the findings of the review did not change.

1 Single study reviews examine evidence published in a study (supplemented, if necessary, by information obtained directly from the authors or related studies) to assess whether the study design meets WWC group design standards. The review reports the WWC’s assessment of whether the study meets WWC group design standards and summarizes the study findings following WWC conventions for reporting evidence on effectiveness. This study was reviewed using the Teacher Quality review protocol, version 3.0. The WWC rating applies only to study outcomes that were eligible for review under this topic area. The reported analyses in this single study review are only for those eligible outcomes that met WWC group design standards without reservations or met WWC group design standards with reservations, and do not necessarily apply to all results presented in the study.

2 There was one teacher-related outcome included in the study that is not described in this WWC report, because the measure did not focus on the direct effects of the intervention. See the table notes in Appendix B for more information.

3 Details about the TRIAD intervention can be obtained from the official website: http://triad-research.du.edu/

4 Information required to assess attrition from random assignment to the analysis sample was not available in the primary or supplemental studies.

5 Details about the Building Blocks curriculum can be obtained from the official website: http://www.buildingblocksmath.org/
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**Glossary of Terms**

- **Attrition**: Attrition occurs when an outcome variable is not available for all participants initially assigned to the intervention and comparison groups. The WWC considers the total attrition rate and the difference in attrition rates across groups within a study.

- **Clustering adjustment**: If intervention assignment is made at a cluster level and the analysis is conducted at the student level, the WWC will adjust the statistical significance to account for this mismatch, if necessary.

- **Confounding factor**: A confounding factor is a component of a study that is completely aligned with one of the study conditions, making it impossible to separate how much of the observed effect was due to the intervention and how much was due to the factor.

- **Design**: The design of a study is the method by which intervention and comparison groups were assigned.

- **Domain**: A domain is a group of closely related outcomes.

- **Effect size**: The effect size is a measure of the magnitude of an effect. The WWC uses a standardized measure to facilitate comparisons across studies and outcomes.

- **Eligibility**: A study is eligible for review if it falls within the scope of the review protocol and uses either an experimental or matched comparison group design.

- **Equivalence**: A demonstration that the analysis sample groups are similar on observed characteristics defined in the review area protocol.

- **Improvement index**: Along a percentile distribution of students, the improvement index represents the gain or loss of the average student due to the intervention. As the average student starts at the 50th percentile, the measure ranges from –50 to +50.

- **Multiple comparison adjustment**: When a study includes multiple outcomes or comparison groups, the WWC will adjust the statistical significance to account for the multiple comparisons, if necessary.

- **Quasi-experimental design (QED)**: A quasi-experimental design (QED) is a research design in which subjects are assigned to intervention and comparison groups through a process that is not random.

- **Randomized controlled trial (RCT)**: A randomized controlled trial (RCT) is an experiment in which investigators randomly assign eligible participants into intervention and comparison groups.

- **Single-case design (SCD)**: A research approach in which an outcome variable is measured repeatedly within and across different conditions that are defined by the presence or absence of an intervention.

- **Standard deviation**: The standard deviation of a measure shows how much variation exists across observations in the sample. A low standard deviation indicates that the observations in the sample tend to be very close to the mean; a high standard deviation indicates that the observations in the sample are spread out over a large range of values.

- **Statistical significance**: Statistical significance is the probability that the difference between groups is a result of chance rather than a real difference between the groups. The WWC labels a finding statistically significant if the likelihood that the difference is due to chance is less than 5% ($p < 0.05$).

- **Substantively important**: A substantively important finding is one that has an effect size of 0.25 or greater, regardless of statistical significance.

Please see the WWC Procedures and Standards Handbook (version 3.0) for additional details.